arXiv:1603.00234v1 [math.AG] 1 Mar 2016

M-CURVES AND SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

INDRANIL BISWAS AND SHANE D'MELLO

ABSTRACT. Let $(X\,,\sigma)$ be a geometrically irreducible smooth projective M-curve of genus g defined over the field of real numbers. We prove that the n–th symmetric product of $(X\,,\sigma)$ is an M-variety for $n = 2\,,3$ and $n \geq 2g-1$.

1. Introduction

A nonsingular geometrically irreducible real projective curve is a pair $(X\,,\sigma)$, where X is a compact connected Riemann surface and σ is an anti-holomorphic involution of X. The fixed point set of this σ is called the real part of X and it is denoted by X^σ; topologically, the subset X^σ is a disjoint union of circles. Harnack proved that the number of components of X^σ is bounded above by $g+1$, where g is the genus of X [Ha]. Curves which have exactly the maximum number (i.e., genus +1) of components of the real part are called M-curves. Classifying real algebraic curves up to homeomorphism is straightforward, however, classifying even planar non-singular real algebraic curves up to isotopy in $\mathbb{RP}^2$ has only been completely successful up to degree 7 [Vi, Wi, Ro]. The M-curves play a special role in this classification; a lot of research has been done on M-curves (see [Chi, She, Mi, Ko, Shu]). In the case of planar curves, many restrictions on the topology of M-curves are known (see [Fi, Ni]) and have helped in the rigid isotopy classification for low degrees [Gu].

The notion of an M-curve can be generalized to higher dimensional non-singular real algebraic varieties. For this, consider a non-singular real algebraic variety $(Y\,,\eta)$, where η is an anti-holomorphic involution of a smooth complex projective variety Y. As before, the fixed-point locus Y^η is called the real part of $(Y\,,\eta)$. The following inequality is a generalization of Harnack's bound [Wi, p. 72, Corollary A.2] [Th, Br]:

$$\sum_{i\geq 0} \dim \mathrm{H}_i(Y^\eta,\, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \,\leq\, \sum_{i\geq 0} \dim \mathrm{H}_i(Y,\, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\,. \tag{1}$$

The pair $(Y\,,\eta)$ is called an M-variety if the inequality in (1) is an equality (see [BMMV]).

Since M-curves play a special role in the topology of real algebraic varieties, it is useful to have a criterion for M-curves. It was proved earlier that a curve defined over $\mathbb{R}$ is an M-curve if and only if its Jacobian is an M-variety [BD]. We use this result of [BD] and the Picard bundle to prove that the n–th symmetric product (the definition is recalled

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14P25, 14H40.

Key words and phrases. M-curve; symmetric product; real locus.

later) of an M-curve of genus g is also an M-variety as long as $n \geq 2g-1$. Although we cannot use the Picard bundle when $n < 2g-1$, by analyzing the topology of the symmetric product, we are able to prove that for $n \leq 3$, the result still holds for all g. The problem remains open for $4 \leq n \leq 2g-2$.

2. Second and third symmetric products

Unless stated otherwise, all homologies will be considered with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g. For any $d \geq 1$, the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ is the quotient of X^d by the natural action of permutations of $\{1\,,\cdots,d\}$.

Lemma 2.1.

(1) *The sum* $\sum_{i\geq 0} \dim H_i(\mathrm{Sym}^2(X);\, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is* $3+3g+2g^2$.
(2) *The sum* $\sum_{i\geq 0} \dim H_i(\mathrm{Sym}^3(X);\, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ *is* $4+14g/3+2g^2+4g^3/3$.

Proof. The Poincaré polynomial (homology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$) of the n-th symmetric product of a topological space, whose i-th Betti numbers is B_i, is the coefficient of t^n in the power series expansion of [Ma1, p. 568, (8.5)] (here x is the indeterminate of the Poincaré polynomial):

$$\frac{(1+xt)^{B_1}(1+x^3t)^{B_3}\dots}{(1-t)^{B_0}(1-x^2t)^{B_2}(1-x^4t)^{B_4}\dots} \tag{2}$$

For X we have $B_0 = B_2 = 1$, $B_1 = 2g$ and $B_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Therefore, the Poincaré polynomial of $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ is the coefficient of t^n in

$$\frac{(1+xt)^{2g}}{(1-t)(1-x^2t)}\,. \tag{3}$$

Setting $x = 1$, the sum of the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Sym}^k(X)$ is the coefficient of t^k in (3), so it is

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(1+t)^{2g}}{(1-t)(1-t)} \;=\; \frac{(1+t)^{2g}}{(1-t)^2} \;=\; (1+t)^{2g}(1-t)^{-2} \;=\\ &(1+2gt+g(2g-1)t^2+\frac{2g(2g-2)(2g-3)}{3!}t^3+\dots)(1+2t+3t^2+4t^3+\dots)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore, the sum of the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X)$ is

$$3+3g+2g^2\,, \tag{5}$$

while the sum of the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)$ is

$$4+14g/3+2g^2+4g^3/3\,. \tag{6}$$

The homology of $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, is torsion-free [Ma2, p. 329, (12.3)], so by the universal coefficient theorem

$$\mathrm{H}_i(X;\,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \;=\; \mathrm{H}_i(X;\,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{H}_i(X;\mathbb{Q}) \;=\; \mathrm{H}_i(X\,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Q}\,.$$

Therefore, the sums of the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X)$ and $\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients are the same as in equations (5) and (6) respectively. □

Assume that X admits an anti-holomorphic involution

$$\sigma : X \longrightarrow X .$$

For any $d \geq 1$, let $\sigma^d : \mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ be the anti-holomorphic involution defined by

$$(x_1 , \cdots , x_d) \longmapsto (\sigma(x_1) , \cdots , \sigma(x_d)) ;$$

in particular, $\sigma^1 = \sigma$.

We will need the following lemma for the next proposition.

Lemma 2.2. *The symmetric product* $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ *is homeomorphic to a Möbius band. The boundary of* $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ *is the diagonal of* $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$.

Proof. Consider the subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ which is invariant under the complex conjugation. The complement, in it, of the subspace of distinct conjugate pairs is identified with $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$. The subspace of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ which is invariant under the complex conjugation can be realized as the space of roots of real polynomials, and therefore can be identified as the space of real quadratic polynomials, up to scalar multiplication and therefore is $\mathbb{RP}^2$. The discriminant is a conic in this copy of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ and divides it into a disc and a Möbius band. The subspace of conjugate pairs is naturally isomorphic to the component of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \mathbb{RP}^1$, which is a disc. Therefore, $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ is the projective plane minus a disc which is a Möbius band. Note that the boundary consists of the discriminant conic which corresponds to the space of repeated roots, which is the diagonal of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{RP}^1)$. □

Proposition 2.3. *If* (X , σ) *is an M-curve, then* $(\mathrm{Sym}^2(X) , \sigma^2)$ *is an M-variety.*

Proof. Let $X^\sigma \subset X$ be the subset fixed by σ. Assume that (X , σ) is an M-curve, so X^σ is a disjoint union $\sqcup_{i=1}^{g+1} C_i$ of $g+1$ circles. Note that the Euler characteristic of $\chi(X \setminus X^\sigma) = \chi(X) = 2 - 2g$ because X^σ is the union of the circles C_i. Suppose $X \setminus X^\sigma$ is connected, note that its closure has $2(g+1)$ boundary components. After gluing a disc to each boundary component of $X \setminus X^\sigma$, one obtains a connected surface whose Euler characteristic is $2 - 2g + 2(1+g) = 4$ which is impossible for a closed surface. Therefore, the complement $X \setminus X^\sigma$ has two connected components X_1 and X_2 that are interchanged by σ, and X^σ is the boundary of both $\overline{X_1}$ and $\overline{X_2}$.

The real part of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X)$ is the disjoint union of the following:

(1) $\overline{\{(z , \overline{z}) \mid z \in X_1\}} \cup \{(\lambda , \mu) \mid \lambda , \mu \in C_i\}$, glued along $\{(\lambda , \lambda) \mid \lambda \in C_i\}$. Using the previous lemma, this is homeomorphic to the space X_1 with a Möbius band attached along each component of the boundary. Note that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(C_i)$ is a Möbius band because C_i is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^1$.

(2) $\{(\lambda , \mu) \mid \lambda \in C_i, \mu \in C_j, i \neq j\}$; it is a disjoint union of $\binom{g+1}{2}$ tori $S^1 \times S^1$.

Denote by Y the space $\overline{X_1}$ with a Möbius band glued at each component of its boundary. The fixed point locus $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X)^{\sigma^2}$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of Y and $\binom{g+1}{2}$ tori. The sum of the Betti numbers of $\binom{g+1}{2}$ tori is $4 \cdot \binom{g+1}{2} = 2g(g+1)$.

Note that the 0-th and 2-nd Betti numbers of Y are 1 since we are considering homology with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients. Therefore, the sum of Betti numbers of Y is $4-\chi(Y)$. The Euler characteristic $\chi(Y) = \chi(X)/2 = 1-g$ because X^σ, being a union of circles, has Euler characteristic 0 and the Euler characteristic of the two halves X_1 and X_2 are the same; the Euler characteristic of a Möbius band is 0. Therefore, $4-\chi(Y) = 4-(1-g) = 3+g$. Therefore, the sum of the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(X)^{\sigma^2}$ is $2g(g+1)+3+g = 3+3g+2g^2$. Now the proposition follows from Lemma 2.1(1). □

In the following theorem, we treat S^1 as the subspace of complex numbers with unit norm. It has a group structure under complex multiplication.

Theorem 2.4. (1) $\theta : \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1) \longrightarrow S^1$, *where* $\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3$, *is a fiber bundle over* S^1 *with fiber homeomorphic to a two simplex.*

(2) *The fiber* $\theta^{-1}(\mu)$ *has boundary* $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in S^1,\ \lambda_1\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \mu\} \cong S^1$. *The boundary of* $\mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$ *is the space* $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in S^1\} \cong S^1 \times S^1$.

The proof of (1) is a special case of the proof in [Mo], and is based on the version of the proof described in [St]. We recall the proof in order to describe the boundary.

Proof. Any point μ of S^1 is contained in a locally trivial neighborhood: For the neighborhood $U := \{\mu e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s} \mid s \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\} \ni \mu$, the map $\psi : U \times \theta^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \theta^{-1}(U)$ given by $\psi(\mu e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s}, (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)) = (\lambda_1 e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s/3}, \lambda_2 e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s/3}, \lambda_3 e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s/3})$ is clearly a local trivialization.

We will now show that the fiber $\theta^{-1}(1)$ is homeomorphic to the standard 2-simplex

$$\Delta^2 := \{(d_1, d_2) \mid d_i \in [0,1], d_1 + d_2 \leq 1\}$$

via the map

$$t : \Delta^2 \longrightarrow \theta^{-1}(1) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$$

defined by $t(d_1, d_2) := (\lambda, \lambda e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_1}, \lambda e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1+d_2)})$, where $\lambda := e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})} \in S^1$ (note that λ was chosen so that $\lambda\lambda e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_1}\lambda e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1+d_2)} = 1$, to ensure that the image of t lies in $\theta^{-1}(1)$). Explicitly, $t(d_1, d_2) := (e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1-\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1+d_2-\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})})$; observe that the powers of e sum up to 0.

Given $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$, while there are several triples $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s_3 \leq s_1 + 1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_1} = \lambda_i$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_2} = \lambda_j$, and $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_3} = \lambda_k$ where $i \neq j \neq k$, only one triple also satisfies $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 0$, which is a necessary condition to define the inverse, owing to the last sentence in the previous paragraph. We will prove that this condition is also sufficient to ensure that the map $t'((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)) = (s_2 - s_1, s_3 - s_2)$ is the inverse of t.

$\mathbb{R}$ covers the circle via the map $s \longmapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s}$ so we can pick $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s_3 \leq s_1 + 1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_1} = \lambda_1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_2} = \lambda_2$, and $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_3} = \lambda_3$ by lifting along the path that begins at λ_1 and wraps around the circle once. Since $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 1$, $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $T(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (s_2, s_3, s_1 + 1)$, then $(s_2', s_3', s_1') = T(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ also satisfies $s_2' \leq s_3' \leq s_1' \leq s_1' + 1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_1'} = \lambda_1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_2'} = \lambda_2$, and $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_3'} = \lambda_3$, however $s_1' + s_2' + s_3' = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3) + 1$.

$\{T^n(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ consists of all possible triples (s_i', s_j', s_k') that satisfy $s_i' \leq s_j' \leq s_k' \leq s_i' + 1$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_i'} = \lambda_i$, $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_j'} = \lambda_j$, and $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_k'} = \lambda_k$, $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$, but the sums $s_i' + s_j' + s_k'$ are all distinct and they span all of $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore there exists a unique $(s_1', s_2', s_3') \in \{T^n(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ that sum to 0 and the map $t'((\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)) = (s_2' - s_1', s_3' - s_2')$ is well-defined.

It is easy to check that t' is an inverse of t. Indeed, $t \circ t'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = t(s_2' - s_1', s_3' - s_2') = (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_1'}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_2'}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s_3'})$, where the last equality also follows from the fact that $s_1' + s_2' + s_3' = 0$. On the other hand, since $s_1' = -\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3}$, $s_2' = d_1 - \frac{2d_1+d_2}{3}$, and $s_3' = d_1 + d_2 - \frac{2d_1+d_2}{3}$ already sums to 0, $t'(e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1-\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(d_1+d_2-\frac{2d_1+d_2}{3})}) = (d_1, d_2)$ and therefore, $t' \circ t = \mathrm{Id}$.

For (2), it follows from the definition of t that given $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = t(d_1, d_2)$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ if and only if $d_1 = 0$, $d_2 = 0$, or $d_1 + d_2 = 1$. This boundary $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in S^1\} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$ is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1$ via the homeomorphism given by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \longmapsto (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, where the λ_1 is the repeated element. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let X_1 be one half of $X \setminus X^\sigma$. Then $\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)^{\sigma^3}$ is the disjoint union of $\binom{g+1}{3}$ copies of the set $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ and the connected sets B_i, $i = 1 \ldots g+1$, where each B_i is constructed as follows: $S^1 \times X_1$ has $g+1$ disjoint copies of $S^1 \times S^1$ in the boundary; to the ith boundary, glue $\mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$ (which also has boundary $S^1 \times S^1$) and to each of the other g boundaries glue $S^1 \times M$ (which also has $S^1 \times S^1$ as its boundary) where M is a Möbius band (the precise gluings will be described in the proof). Furthermore, $\mathrm{H}_1(B_i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(S^1 \times X_1; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for each i.*

Proof. Denote the ith boundary component of X_1 by C_i. The space $\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)^{\sigma^3}$ consists of elements of the form $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mid \lambda_i \in X^\sigma\}$ or $\{(\lambda, z, \bar{z}) \mid \lambda \in X^\sigma, z \in X \setminus X^\sigma\}$. The latter is clearly homeomorphic to the disjoint union of $Y_0^i := \{(\lambda, z, \bar{z}) \mid \lambda \in C_i, z \in X \setminus X^\sigma\} = C_i \times X_1 \cong S^1 \times X_1$ (see Proposition 2.3). The former consists of spaces of three forms:

(1) $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mid \lambda_1 \in C_i, \lambda_2 \in C_j, \lambda_3 \in C_k, i \neq j \neq k\}$. This space is $C_i \times C_j \times C_k \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$. There are $\binom{g+1}{3}$ copies of it and they are clearly disjoint.
(2) $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \mid \lambda_1 \in C_i, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in C_j, i \neq j\}$. This space is $C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j) \cong S^1 \times M$, where M is the Möbius band. Note that the boundary of this space is $\{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1 \in C_i, \lambda_2 \in C_j, i \neq j\}$ and it lies in the closure and therefore the same component of $C_i \times X_1$.

(3) $\{(\lambda_1\,,\lambda_2\,,\lambda_3) \mid \lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3 \in C_i\}$. This space is $\mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$. Its boundary is $\{(\lambda_1\,,\lambda_2\,,\lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in C_i\}$, which is also in the closure and therefore the same component of $C_i \times X_1$.

We claim that

$$\mathrm{H}_1(Y_0^i \cup C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(\overline{Y_0^i}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

for all $i \neq j$. Note that $\overline{Y_0^i} \cap C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j) = \{(\lambda_1\,,\lambda_2\,,\lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1 \in C_i, \lambda_2 \in C_j, i \neq j\} \cong S^1 \times S^1$. Consider the reduced homology version of the Mayer Vietoris sequence,

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(S^1\times S^1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y_0^i})\oplus\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(C_i\times\mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y_0^i}\cup C_i\times\mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j)) \longrightarrow 0$$

which simplifies to,

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\theta} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(S^1\times X_1)\oplus(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Z = \overline{Y_0^i} \cup C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j)$.

Let $[A_1]$ and $[A_2]$ be the generators of $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(S^1 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, represented by the curves $A_1 = \{(\lambda_1\,,1\,,1) \mid \lambda_1 \in C_i, 1 \in C_j\}$ and $A_2 = \{(1\,,\lambda_2\,,\lambda_2) \mid 1 \in C_i, \lambda_2 \in C_j\}$. Note that A_1 and A_2 are not boundaries in $S^1 \times X_1$ because X_1 has more than one boundary component, however, A_2 is twice a generator in $S^1 \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j) \cong S^1 \times M$, where M is the Möbius band, and is therefore 0 (since the coefficient is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). Therefore,

$$[A_1] \xrightarrow{\theta} ([A_1],[A_1])$$
$$[A_2] \xrightarrow{\theta} ([A_2],0)$$

Therefore, the image of θ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, from which it follows that $\mathrm{H}_1(\overline{Y_0^i} \cup C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(\overline{Y_0^i}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This proves the claim.

Following exactly the same proof, one can prove that taking the union of Y_0^i with all spaces $C_i \times \mathrm{Sym}^2(C_j)$, $j \neq i$, does not change the first homology. Denote the resulting space by Y^i. The boundary of Y^i is $C_i \times C_i$.

We now claim that

$$\mathrm{H}_1(\overline{Y^i} \cup \mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(\overline{Y^i}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\,.$$

Note that $\overline{Y^i} \cap \mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i) = \{(\lambda_1\,,\lambda_2\,,\lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in C_i\} \cong S^1 \times S^1$. Consider the Mayer Vietoris sequence,

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(S^1 \times S^1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i} \cup \mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i)) \longrightarrow 0$$

which simplifies to

$$\ldots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\theta} \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i} \cup D^2 \times S^1) \longrightarrow 0$$

Let $[A_1]$ and $[A_2]$ be the generators of $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(S^1 \times S^1) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, represented by $A_1 := \{(\lambda,\lambda,1) \mid \lambda \in S^1\}$ and $A_2 := \{(1,1,\lambda) \mid \lambda \in S^1\}$. Let us consider the curves $A_1' := \{(\lambda,\lambda,\mu) \mid \lambda,\mu \in C_i,\ \lambda^2\mu = 1\}$ and $A_2' := \{(1,1,\lambda) \mid \lambda \in S^1\}$ in $\mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i)$. By theorem 2.4, A_1' is the boundary of a fiber and therefore homologous to zero, whereas A_2'

is a section of the fiber bundle $\theta : \mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1) \longrightarrow S^1$, and therefore $[A'_2]$ generates the first homology of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i)$. Note that $[A'_1]$ and $[A'_2]$ are generators of the first homology of the boundary of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i)$. Let the image of A_1 in the boundary of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$ represent the homology class $a[A'_1]+b[A'_2]$. The coefficients can be determined by the mod 2 intersection number with A'_1 and A'_2: A_1 intersects A'_2 in one point, namely $(1,1,1)$, therefore $a = 1$; A_1 intersects A'_1 in two points, namely $\{(\lambda,\lambda,1) \mid \lambda^2 = 1\} = \{(1,1,1),(-1,-1,1)\}$, therefore the intersection number mod 2 is 0 and $b = 0$. Therefore, the image of A_1 in the boundary of $\mathrm{Sym}^3(S^1)$ represents the homology class $[A'_1]$ which in the solid torus is 0. On the other hand, $A_2 = A'_2$, by definition. Now the image of A_1 in $\overline{Y^i}$ is the boundary and therefore homologous to 0, whereas the image of A_2 in $\overline{Y^i}$ represents a generator of the first homology. Therefore,

$$[A_1] \xrightarrow{\theta} (0,0)$$
$$[A_2] \xrightarrow{\theta} ([A_2],[A'_2])$$

Therefore, the image of θ is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i}) \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_1(\overline{Y^i} \cup \mathrm{Sym}^3(C_i))$. □

Lemma 2.6. *The sum of the Betti numbers of each B_i is $2(g+2)$.*

Proof. We already know, by computing the Euler characteristic, that $\mathrm{H}_1(X_1;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g$. By the Künneth formula, we have that $\mathrm{H}_1(S^1 \times X_1;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g+1}$. By the previous lemma, $\mathrm{H}_1(B_i;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_1(S^1 \times X_1;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g+1}$. Finally, by the Poincaré duality coupled with the universal coefficient theorem of cohomology, $\mathrm{H}_2(B_i;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}_1(B_i;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Since B_i is a compact manifold without boundary, the sum of the Betti numbers is $1 + (g+1) + (g+1) + 1 = 2(g+2)$ □

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(X\,,\sigma)$ be an M-curve. Then $(\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)\,,\sigma^3)$ is an M-variety.*

Proof. By lemma 2.5, $\mathrm{Sym}^3(X)^{\sigma^3}$ has $\binom{g+1}{3}$ components, disjoint copies of $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$, each of which has the sum of Betti numbers 8. Since the sum of the Betti numbers of each B_i is $2(g+2)$ and there are $g+1$ of them, the total sum of the Betti numbers is $8\binom{g+1}{3} + (g+1)2(g+2)$.

Now the proposition follows from Lemma 2.1(1). □

3. Picard bundles and higher symmetric powers

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X\,,\sigma)$ be an M-curve of genus g. For any $n \geq 2g-1$, the symmetric product $(\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)\,,\sigma^n)$ is also an M-variety.*

Proof. Note that if the real part of $(X\,,\sigma)$ is empty, it cannot be an M-curve. Therefore, in what follows, we will assume that the real part of $(X\,,\sigma)$ is non-empty.

Fix a point $p \in X^\sigma$. Take any $n \geq 2g-1$. Consider the map

$$u : \mathrm{Sym}^n(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \tag{7}$$

defined by $u((x_1\,, x_2\,, \ldots\,, x_n)) \,=\, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - p))$. The map u is surjective. Indeed, for any $L \,\in\, \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$, the line bundle $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)$ admits nonzero holomorphic sections because by Riemann–Roch,

$$\dim H^0(X,\, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)) - \dim H^1(X,\, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)) \,=\, n - g + 1 \,>\, 0\,.$$

Therefore, if s is a nonzero holomorphic section of $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)$, then we have

$$L \,=\, u(\mathrm{divisor}(s))\,.$$

Hence u is surjective. The fiber of u over L is the space of effective divisors on X of degree n linearly equivalent to $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)$. Using Serre duality,

$$H^1(X,\, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)) \,=\, H^0(X,\, L^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-np) \otimes K_X)^* \,=\, 0$$

because

$$\mathrm{degree}(L^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-np) \otimes K_X) \,=\, -n + 2g - 2 \,<\, 0\,.$$

Hence by Riemann–Roch, we have

$$\dim H^0(X,\, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(np)) \,=\, n - g + 1\,.$$

Therefore, u makes $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)$ a projective bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ of relative dimension $n-g$; see [ACGH, Ch. IV, § 3] for more details.

Let

$$\sigma^* \,:\, \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)\,,\;\; \mathcal{O}_X(D) \,\longmapsto\, \mathcal{O}_X(\sigma(D))$$

be the anti-holomorphic involution given by σ. Note that for a meromorphic function f on X, we have $\sigma(\mathrm{divisor}(f)) \,=\, \mathrm{divisor}(\overline{f \circ \sigma})$. Therefore, σ^* is well-defined, and σ^n takes fibers of the map u in (7) to fibers of u. We now prove that the restriction of u to $\mathrm{Sym}^n(X)^{\sigma^n}$ surjects onto $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)^{\sigma^*}$.

Take any $\mathcal{O}_X(D) \,\in\, \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)^{\sigma^*}$. Since the real part of the curve is non-empty, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ cannot have a quaternionic structure [BHH, p. 206, Propositon 3.1 and p. 210, § 4.2], [BB] (there are no quaternionic bundles of odd rank on X because X^σ is nonempty). Therefore, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ has a real structure, i.e., there is a lift $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of σ to $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma} \circ \widetilde{\sigma}$ is the identity map of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is fiberwise conjugate linear. For any holomorphic section s of $\mathcal{O}_X(D + n.p)$, the section $\varphi(s) \,:=\, s + \widetilde{\sigma}(s)$ is clearly fixed by $\widetilde{\sigma}$.

We will show that there is a holomorphic section s such that $\varphi(s) \,\neq\, 0$. For this, first note that the homomorphism

$$H^0(X,\, \mathcal{O}_X(D + n.p)) \,\longrightarrow\, H^0(X,\, \mathcal{O}_X(D + n.p))\,,\;\; s \,\longmapsto\, \widetilde{\sigma}(s)$$

is conjugate linear. Hence if s' is a nonzero holomorphic section such that $\varphi(s') \,=\, s' + \widetilde{\sigma}(s') \,=\, 0$, then

$$\varphi(\sqrt{-1}s') \,=\, \sqrt{-1}s' + \widetilde{\sigma}(\sqrt{-1}s') \,=\, \sqrt{-1}s' - \sqrt{-1}\widetilde{\sigma}(s') \,=\, 2\sqrt{-1}s'\,.$$

So $\varphi(\sqrt{-1}s') \,\neq\, 0$ because $s' \,\neq\, 0$.

Take any $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D + n.p))$ such that $\varphi(s) \neq 0$. Therefore, $D_s := \text{divisor}(s)$ is an element of $\text{Sym}^n(X)^{\sigma^n}$ such that $u(D_s) = \mathcal{O}_X(D) \in \text{Pic}^0(X)^{\sigma^*}$. Hence

$$u|_{\text{Sym}^n(X)^{\sigma^n}} : \text{Sym}^n(X)^{\sigma^n} \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)^{\sigma^*} \tag{8}$$

is surjective.

Consequently, the map in (8) makes $\text{Sym}^n(X)^{\sigma^n}$ a real projective bundle over $\text{Pic}^0(X)^{\sigma^*}$ of fixed relative dimension $n - g$.

If X is an M-curve, then $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is an M-variety [BD, Proposition 2.3]. The complex projective space with the standard anti-holomorphic involution is also an M-variety. Now it follows from the Leray–Hirsch theorem that $\text{Sym}^n(X)$ is an M-variety. □

References

[ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[BB] U. N. Bhosle and I. Biswas, Stable real algebraic vector bundles over a Klein bottle, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), 4569–4595.

[BMMV] F. Bihan, F. Matthias, C. McCrory and J. van Hamel, Is every toric variety an M-variety? *Manuscr. Math.* **120** (2006), 217–232.

[BHH] I. Biswas, J. Huisman, and J. Hurtubise, The moduli space of stable vector bundles over a real algebraic curve, *Math. Ann.* **347** (2010), 201–233.

[BD] I. Biswas and S. D'Mello, A criterion for M-curves, *Jour. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **30** (2015), 403–411.

[Br] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, vol. 46, Academic press, 1972.

[Chi] Yu. S. Chislenko, M-curves of degree ten, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **122** (1982), 146–161, 167–168, Studies in topology, IV.

[Cho] Y. S. Cho, Topological properties of symmetric products, Workshop on Diff. Geom. **15** (2011), 71–81,

[Fi] T. Fidler, New congruences in the topology of real plane algebraic curves, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **270** (1983), 56–58.

[Gu] D. A. Gudkov, The topology of real projective algebraic varieties, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **29** (1974), 3–79.

[Ha] A. Harnack, Ueber die Vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Curven, *Math. Ann.* **10** (1876), 189–198.

[Ko] A. B. Korchagin, M-curves of degree 9: new restrictions, *Mat. Zametki* **39** (1986), 277–283.

[Ma1] I. G. Macdonald, The Poincaré polynomial of a symmetric product, *Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.* **58** (1962), 563–568.

[Ma2] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric products of an algebraic curve, *Topology* **1** (1962), 319–343.

[Mi] N. M. Mišačev, Complex orientations of plane M-curves of odd degree, *Funkc. Anal. i Prilož.* **9** (1975), 77–78.

[Mo] H. R. Morton, Symmetric products of the circle, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **63** (1967), 349–352.

[Ni] V. V. Nikulin, Involutions of integer quadratic forms and their applications to real algebraic geometry, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **47** (1983), 109–188.

[Ro] V. A. Rohlin, Complex topological characteristics of real algebraic curves, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), (203), 77–89.

[She] B. Sheval'e, Four M-curves of degree 8, *Funkt. Anal. i Prilozh.* **36** (2002), 90–93.

[Shu] E. I. Shustin, A new M-curve of degree eight, *Mat. Zametki* **42** (1987), 180–186.

[St] A. Stacy, nLab, `http://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/symmetric+product+of+circles`.

[Th] R. Thom, *Sur l'homologie des variétés algébriques réelles*, Differential and combinatorial topology (1965), 255–265.

[Vi] O. Y. Viro, Achievements in the topology of real algebraic varieties in the last six years, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **41** (1986), 45–67.

[Wi] G. Wilson, Hilbert's sixteenth problem, *Topology* **17** (1978), 53–73.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune 411008, India

E-mail address: shane.dmello@iiserpune.ac.in